

# Nothing unconstitutional about “illegals” voting

Wednesday, 20 September 2017 10:30

**[It may be stupid, but there is nothing unconstitutional about letting “illegals” vote, it’s called FEDERALISM](http://www.thetruthaboutthelaw.com/there-is-nothing-unconstitutional-about-illegals-voting/)**

THE TRUTH ABOUT THE LAW

<http://www.thetruthaboutthelaw.com/there-is-nothing-unconstitutional-about-illegals-voting/>

Legalman — America's most trustworthy lawyer

14 March 2016

**The government screws the people at every turn. But still, EACH TIME, the people turn back to that same government to solve the very problems that the government created.** Amazing really to watch it happen over and over. The borders are wide open. The “election process” is rotten to the core. Fraud is rampant, but the essential problem that permits all of this to happen remains the same. **The people don’t understand the real purpose of the “government”, or the constitution they claim to “love”.**

Today I am going to discuss a real hot button for a lot of people, the issue of illegals voting. **Let me be blunt. The Congress has NO SAY in whether “illegals” vote. Just as they have no say in whether someone “needs to show a driver’s license” in order to vote. Those are issues to be decided by EACH STATE.**

**Back to the constitution “conservatives” don’t see that they support internally inconsistent positions and thus UNDERMINE their own supposed position about a “limited” federal government.** They support the *feds stopping* illegals from voting because they see that as another issue of “law and order”, which is **THEIR HOT BUTTON**. But they complain that “liberals” push for the feds to encroach on the states rights on “social and economic” issues, which are the libs hot buttons. **They don’t see that this is all by design. The “split” is designed to GROW the fed’s power regardless of which party is “in office”.**

**Of course letting people vote who sneak in to this “country” illegally is a BAD IDEA.** But If the people in a state want to authorize a BAD IDEA and give members of “ISIS” living in Syria the right to vote in their state elections for President or for their congressman or for anything else, there is precisely *“Richard”* that the Feds have to say about it **UNDER** the constitution. **That is what true “federalism” IS. And that is what the back to the constitution crowd claims to want. But they don’t SUPPORT IT when it doesn’t do what THEY like. Just like the liberals.**

**So let’s just look at what the holy constitution says and let me prove to you once again that the Feds IGNORE what is written in the Constitution and the back to the Constitution crowd’s position pushing for fed enforcement is NONSENSICAL.**

First of all, NO INDIVIDUAL HAS A RIGHT TO VOTE FOR THE PRESIDENT. NONE. Your state can **appoint its electors** anyway it cares to. I have written on this twice. Go read them if you want a lot of details, **But I will briefly show you once again what the constitution says.**

*Each state shall appoint, in such manner as the Legislature thereof may direct, a number of electors, equal to the whole number of Senators and Representatives to which the State may be entitled in the Congress: but no Senator or Representative, or person holding an office of trust or profit under the United States, shall be appointed an elector.*

**Clear as day. The states APPOINT their electors however their legislature directs.** Period. You have no right to vote for the President. Zero. And if you still have any doubt then go checkout what I wrote about [the big “disputed” election of 1800 where Jefferson cheated his way to the presidency](#). **In that election 4 states specifically changed their electoral “appointment” method, and took the popular vote away from the people in order to enter into a deal with other states to assure a certain outcome.**

**There is nothing in the constitution that would prevent a state from “appointing” its presidential electors by letting illegals vote. Nothing.** Think about it, if the state doesn’t even have to let ANYONE vote, how and why would it not be allowed to let “illegals” vote if it cared to? Of course a state could allow illegals to vote in the “appointment” of the states members to the electoral college, **because a state could choose to appoint their electors by using a**

**lottery.**

**So now lets focus on electing their Congressmen. Here is the pertinent portion, Art. 1 Section 2.**

*The House of Representatives shall be composed of members chosen every second year by the people of the several states, and **the electors in each state shall have the qualifications requisite for electors of the most numerous branch of the state legislature.***

**So simple and straightforward. All you do is LOOK and see what the qualifications are to choose the members of the state's "most numerous branch of the legislature". If they are using that same eligibility standard for congressional electors, then they have met the "constitutional requirements. End of analysis.**

People can't seem to wrap their brains around something being this simple, but it is. They find it hard to believe because they have been so baffled with BS their whole lives. But you don't have to be a "constitutional expert", you just have to be able to read.

**Now we'll do the Senate. The 17th amendment which changed the way the Senate was chosen used the exact same "most numerous branch" language. To wit (figured I'd throw in a bit of legal jargon for yucks):**

*The electors in each state shall have the qualifications requisite for electors of the most numerous branch of the state legislatures.*

**Identical language, means identical analysis.** And poof, just like that, we are done. Let's get a cup of coffee. **So now we have come full circle.** If the state "elected" its "most numerous branch of the legislature" by a ballot that was open to members of ISIS living in Syria as well as to citizens in the state, then guess what? THAT is how they would then be allowed to vote for their congressmen and senators. There is nothing else to it. The provision is straightforward. **It is left entirely to the states to decide who is eligible to elect their congressmen and senators.**

I'm sorry if this is shocking to you. I'm sorry if you don't believe me. But it is simply an undeniable FACT. The feds have NO say in whether illegals get to vote.

**The Feds are only entitled to control the time place and manner of the elections by "altering" whatever the states have decided to do. Here is art 1 section 4:**

*The times, places and manner of holding elections for Senators and Representatives, shall be prescribed in each state by the legislature thereof; **but the Congress may at any time by law make or alter such regulations, except as to the places of choosing Senators.***

**It just can't be any clearer. Time place and manner are not ELIGIBILITY. They are *time place and manner*. Again, end of analysis.** Do you see how fantastically simple this was to prove? Yet still the confusion and outright lies continue unabated.

My bet is that even after reading what I just showed you, that many people STILL will not believe me. Such is the level of brainwashing.

So think about this. Remember back to when you were dutifully sitting quietly while the state agent indoctrinated you into the myth and fantasy of what the "united states" government is during your "education". Remember how they told us that the states all used to have very different and "restrictive" voting eligibility requirements. ***They told us that only rich white guys could vote.*** Remember that? Of course you do. In fact we are now constantly reminded of how this is evidence that we are a terrible hateful racist chauvinistic country and need to pay more taxes to the government to fix all of these past wrongs. **So they are telling you, in effect, what I just told you, *that the states set eligibility.***

**And if you STILL don't believe me then answer this simple question.**

**WHY DID WE NEED 3 SEPARATE AMENDMENTS ABOUT WOMEN, AFRICAN AMERICANS, AND 18 YEAR OLDS VOTING?**

**There would have been NO reason for those *amendments*. Congress could have just *passed laws* to "fix" all of the terrible racist chauvinist transgenderist anti-veganist hate into the love we all experience today. Do you see**

that? **The very existence of those *amendments*, once again proves my point about illegals voting.**

***The exact SAME analysis applies for illegals as it does to women, AA's or "minors" etc. There is no difference.*** The only way the feds can regulate the area is to get a constitutional Amendment passed, just like they had to do with the other eligibility areas.

**Now that I have opened your eyes to that harsh reality. Let me explain a couple of things about what those voting amendments even do, because most people don't even understand that.**

Most people think the amendments mean that a state **MUST** let all of those different types of people vote. ***Wrong.*** THE AMENDMENTS DO NOT SAY THAT.

**All they do is prohibit denying or abridging the eligibility to vote *BASED upon age sex and race.* They do not create any right to vote.** They don't mandate that everyone in those categories ***must be allowed*** to vote. They only prohibit a state from using any of those categories as the **BASIS** to deny someone eligibility to vote. **States can still deny people in the categories voting eligibility, they just can't do it BASED upon the category.** Do you see the difference? Probably not, because you weren't trained to trick people with word games like politicians and lawyers have been. So let me show you.

**The operative language is the same in each amendment. Here is one example.**

***The right of citizens of the United States, who are 18 years of age or older, to vote, shall not be denied or abridged*** by the United States or any state ***on account of*** age.

I bolded the operative language. It doesn't ***grant*** a right. It only says, in effect, that ***to the extent they have a right*** that the right cannot be denied or abridged ***based upon*** being 18 or older.

Maybe this will clear it up. Most states deny "felons" the right to vote ***EVEN THOUGH*** most felons are men or women over the age of 18, which are protected classes or categories. But members of those "protected classes/categories" ***can be disenfranchised*** in that situation because they aren't being disenfranchised ***on account of their being in*** one of the protected classes. They are being disenfranchised ***because they are criminals.*** And "criminal" ***is not a protected class.*** Get it? **And guess what, if a state WANTED to let all CRIMINALS vote, they could do that TOO. Because the amendments are about who can't be RESTRICTED, not who can be ALLOWED to vote.**

Let me give you another example of permissible "overlapping classes" that you will probably find shocking. Could a state elect its congressmen and state reps by allowing ***only*** people who have assets of ***10 million dollars or more to vote?***

**Yes.**

**Because again, they are NOT DISCRIMINATING BASED ON AGE SEX OR RACE, AND Financial worth is not a protected class!** Women, men, blacks, whites, old, young all are ***"EQUALLY"*** welcome to vote, ***provided*** they each have at least 10 million dollars. The state would thus be applying its "standard" in a "permissible way" because it is not **BASED upon** any of the prohibited classes or categories.

Here's one more example. Could a state ***only allow*** "anyone" who can ***solve some puzzle*** to vote? YES, for the same reasons.

**And so again we come full circle and ask.... can a state allow "illegals" to vote in their elections??**

**YES WE CAN!**

**Now *why* any state *would* do any of those things, or *whether they should* are VERY DIFFERENT QUESTIONS than whether they *are allowed* to "under" the constitution. Do you see that?**

**This kind of word game is just one of the ways they screw you with all their "positive laws". The holy constitution is no different.** IF the words they want are not there they read them in. And if words they don't want are there, they read them out! Then they tell you that all these words make you free, Free FREE! And you believe them. **Surely it's clear by now that the feds must have an amendment to regulate this issue. But guess what? The feds stopped bothering with details like the constitution a long time ago, because the people are so brainwashed that they imagine the feds can *pass laws* about anything they want.** Think about drugs. They

needed a constitutional amendment to outlaw booze, but now the feds claim to have the right to outlaw whatever **drugs** they care to? How? What changed in the holy constitution? Nothing. It makes no sense. The distinction between booze and drugs is just a made up word game approved by “the supreme court” which is just the feds themselves. It is asinine. But the people worship this system as though it is actually **their “liberty”**.

**Let me finish by showing you how the feds just ignore the holy constitution once again and lawlessly usurp the states authority over the issue of “aliens voting”. Take a look at 18 USC 611 Voting by Aliens.**

*(a) It shall be unlawful for any alien to vote in any election held solely or in part for the purpose of electing a candidate for the office of President, Vice President, Presidential elector, Member of the Senate, Member of the House of Representatives, Delegate from the District of Columbia, or Resident Commissioner.*

You should now be able to see how laughably unconstitutional this purported “law” is. **“Alien” v. citizen status is an eligibility category no different than men v. women, black v. white, or 18 v. 21. The feds have no authority over eligibility categories. They only have authority to regulate time place and manner.**

**Now I suspect some people are thinking, but what about “equal protection”?!! They might as well say that the feds can do it because the feds have electrolytes! People have no idea what the phrase “equal protection” means “in the law”. For the most part, you only get “equal” protection if you are entitled to “protection”, and you only get protection if you are a protected class. It is just another word game used to confuse the people. Much too complicated to explain here and now. But let me quickly dispel any notion that the so called *equal protection clause* would somehow authorize this blatantly unconstitutional over reach by congress on “aliens”.**

**If the “equal protection clause” somehow gave Congress any power to regulate *eligibility categories*, such as “aliens”, then there never would have been a need for the 3 other amendments on the *eligibility classes* of race, sex, and age. Do you see yet?**

My brainwashed friend, the only reason the feds went through **the charade** of getting those other amendments, including the one on booze, is because **at that time** the people had not been brainwashed sufficiently, so a lot of people knew that Congress needed an amendment EACH time.

**That’s no longer the case. We have now arrived at the United Statist of Utopia. 50 plus years of federal education control, media brainwashing, history rewriting, pervasive prescription drugging, spraying, fast food stuffing and mindless porn/social media consuming have made sure the people are as ignorant, docile and compliant as needed.**

**The “back to the constitution” crowd and its shameless promoters simply don’t understand the document they claim to love so much, or they intentionally misrepresent it. It’s only one or the other.**

**I’ve said it before, I will say it again. Why do you think they allow that whole “conservative” “back to the constitution” crap to be beamed endlessly into your homes and cars, and for the purveyors of it to get so RICH?? Do you honestly think they would allow that to happen if the “back to the constitution” ideas being peddled by them had any actual chance of creating *meaningful change* to the system THEY CONTROL? My friend, fairy tales are for children. It really is so transparently silly I wonder how much of the pap that they spew *they even believe*. Probably not much.**

**Look, the constitution and the fairy tale surrounding its “adoption” is, at its heart, a deception and a usurpation of your REAL rights. That’s all it is. Why revere it and its “authors”? It facilitates theft by a giant entity that is controlled by and benefits the rich and powerful. They use it to put you in a cage whenever you don’t do what they demand. *Why, pray tell, do you imagine that the constitution is a good thing for you when you obviously know NOTHING ABOUT IT EXCEPT WHAT YOU HAVE BEEN TOLD by those who benefit from its existence???* Because you’ve been brainwashed my friend. Because you’ve been brainwashed and fleeced since the day your were born and you have no idea what you are actually supporting.**

**Until people see the government AND the constitution for what they REALLY are, the hapless masses will continue to support them and therefore they will continue to support their own enslavement. And getting people to do *THAT* is the *REAL PURPOSE* for all of the constitution worshipping they push.**

Okay I am done for today. I have to go rewatch the 9th republican debate again just to be sure I didn’t miss something. I take my civic duty seriously. I only wish everyone else did. **Take care my brainwashed**

**Brethren. Move towards the light and tell someone the truth about the law.**